

**MUTUAL EVALUATION FORESEEN BY THE SERVICES DIRECTIVE–
STAKEHOLDERS' CONSULTATION**

All replies should be sent to: services@eftasurv.int

Deadline for replies: 20 September 2010

<p>Identification of the respondent (name, contact details)</p> <p>Type of respondent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> Individual<input type="checkbox"/> Business/Company<input type="checkbox"/> Association/Organisation<input type="checkbox"/> Professional body<input type="checkbox"/> Public authority<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please indicate) <p>Respondent active at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> Regional level<input type="checkbox"/> National level<input type="checkbox"/> European level <p>Please indicate the service sectors which interest you/those you represent</p>

The Efta Surveillance Authority is hereby inviting interested stakeholders to reply to the questions below. More information about the public consultation, including background documents, can be found at the website of the Authority: www.eftasurv.int.

In your answers, please always indicate the EFTA/EEA State(s) and the sector/service concerned as well as the specific requirement(s) you are referring to. Please also give reasons for your assessment, e.g. why you consider a requirement unnecessarily burdensome and/or discriminatory and/or name the alternative measures you would consider appropriate.

QUESTIONS ON REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SERVICE PROVIDERS

1. Questions on national measures imposing an authorisation (Article 9 of the Services Directive)

- 1.1. Are there authorisation schemes imposed on service providers which you consider to be contrary to the Services Directive because they are discriminatory, unjustified or disproportionate?
- 1.2. Are there cases where, in your view, authorisation schemes could be replaced by a less restrictive measure (e.g. declarations, a posteriori inspections)?

2. Questions on national measures imposing certain types of requirements (Article 15 of the Services Directive)

- 2.1. Are there any specific requirements of the type mentioned in Article 15 of the Services Directive which you consider to be contrary to the Services Directive because they are discriminatory, unjustified or disproportionate?
- 2.2. Are there cases where, in your view, these requirements could be made less stringent?

3. Questions on national measures restricting multidisciplinary activities (Article 25 of the Services Directive)

- 3.1. Are you aware of restrictions to multidisciplinary activities which are imposed on services other than regulated professions and services of certification, accreditation, technical monitoring, test or trial services?
- 3.2. In your view, are there any restrictions to multidisciplinary activities imposed on regulated professions or on certification/accreditation/technical monitoring, test/trial services which are not needed to ensure the independence and/or impartiality of the provider or could be replaced by less stringent requirements?

QUESTIONS ON REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO THE CROSS BORDER PROVISION OF SERVICES

4. Are you aware of any specific requirement (mentioned or not in Article 16 (2) of the Services Directive) imposed on cross-border service provision which, in your view, is discriminatory, not justified for reasons of public policy, public security, public health or the protection of the environment or disproportionate?
5. Are there cases where, in your view, some of the requirements imposed on cross border service provision could be replaced by less restrictive measures?